

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/619,300	0	7/14/2003	Gene D. Burdette	20228	6294
28133	7590	10/21/2004		EXAMINER	
RICHARD		SH	HAYES, BRET C		
4116 E. LATOKA SPRINGFIELD, MO 65809				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3644	3644
				DATE MAILED: 10/21/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	10/619,300	BURDETTE ET AL.					
	Examiner	Art Unit					
TI MAN ING DATE (A)	Bret C Hayes	3644					
The MAILING DATE of this communication apper THE REPLY FILED 11 October 2004 FAILS TO PLACE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avinal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION I	TION FOR ALLOWANCE. ation. A proper reply to a					
, , ,	PLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The ee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period o ee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of t 2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic imely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI f extension and the corresponding amothe shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:						
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.							
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ion(s):						
1. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:	reconsideration has been consideration	dered but does NOT place the					
 The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection. 	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly					
 For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(explanation of how the new or amended claims wo 	(s) a)∏ will not be entered or b) ould be rejected is provided belo	☐ will be entered and an wor appended.					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
B. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ appr	oved or b) disapproved by th	ne Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen		·· 4					
0. ☐ Other:	Shill	30X					
	TERI P. SUPERVISORY PRI	LUU MARY EXAMINER					
	/ • •••						

Continuation of 2. NOTE: the allegation that the prior art cannot capture a plurality of projectiles would require further consideration and/or search. Upon filing of an RCE examiner would consider the arguments and the claims and update the search of the prior art to compare against the claims.